City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 28.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: DECEMBER 6, 2007

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT	
DIRECTOR: M. MARGO WHEELER	□ Consent ⋈ Discussion
SUBJECT:	
VAR-25257 - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: STEVE AND	
JANINE AYRES - Request for a Variance	TO ALLOW A FIVE-FOOT REAR YARD
SETBACK WHERE EIGHT FEET IS REQUIRED FOR AN EXISTING ACCESSORY	
STRUCTURE on 0.17 acres at 804 South Jones Boulevard (APN 138-36-401-003), R-1 (Single	
Family Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking)	
Zone, Ward 1 (Tarkanian)	
C.C.: 01/09/2008	
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:	APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:
Planning Commission Mtg. 1	Planning Commission Mtg. 11
City Council Meeting 0	City Council Meeting 0
RECOMMENDATION:	

DENIAL

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

- 1. Location and Aerial Maps
- 2. Conditions and Staff Report
- 3. Supporting Documentation
- 4. Photos
- 5. Justification Letter
- 6. Protest/support postcards and support letters

Motion made by GLENN TROWBRIDGE to Approve subject to conditions

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1 LEO DAVENPORT, GLENN TROWBRIDGE, DAVID STEINMAN, BYRON GOYNES, RICHARD TRUESDELL, STEVEN EVANS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-SAM DUNNAM)

Minutes:

CHAIRMAN DAVENPORT disclosed that he had a previous financial transaction on the subject property, but being as the applicants did not own the property at that time, he would vote on the matter.

CHAIRMAN DAVENPORT declared the Public Hearing open for Items 28 and 29.

City of Las Vegas Agenda Item No.: 28.

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: DECEMBER 6, 2007

DOUG RANKIN, Planning and Development Department, stated that the requirement for an accessory structure on commercial property 8 feet as regulated by Title 19.10. The applicant is requesting 5 feet setback. He also noted that the drive aisle does not meet Title 19.

BOB GENZER, Genzer Consulting, explained that the applicants purchased the property in 2006 unaware that the proper permits had not been obtained by the previous owner. When they attempted to go forward with the conversion to commercial, they were informed by the City that the property did not comply with Code. MR. GENZER further explained that the driveway to the rear of the property is 10.5 feet where 12 feet is required. The applicants have contacted the adjacent property owner to obtain a joint access agreement to no avail. MR. GENZER also referenced letters from the adjacent owners that support this project. He noted that there would be landscaping throughout the property.

COMMISSIONER TROWBRIDGE recommended adding landscaping to soften the look of steel structure. COMMISSIONER EVANS agreed for the same reason. MR. GENZER remarked that the applicant would be willing to make the lot look more attractive.

COMMISSIONER STEINMAN asked if there was a variance for landscaping on the south portion of the property. He added that the applicant has 22 inches of area where something could be grown and made to look right. MR. RANKIN stated that if approved, the landscape plan would require a 5-foot landscape buffer; therefore, the applicant would have to cut out the concrete to accomplish that. MR. RANKIN also noted that the applicant addressed that subject in the plans submitted to the Planning Department. COMMISSIONER TROWBRIDGE commented that the trash container could be relocated to make the front more attractive.

MR. GENZER acknowledged that the applicant would be more than willing to work with landscapers to draw up a landscape plan. COMMISSIONER EVANS asked whether staff would be able to assist the applicant with the landscape plan. COMMISSIONER TROWBRIDGE replied that he would like some assurance that they would take care of the concerns and present an attractive package .

MARGO WHEELER, Director of the Planning and Development Department, stated that although the property is quite small, she was confident the applicant would be able to acquire an enhanced landscape plan prior to the applications being heard by the City Council.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JAMES LEWIS noted that the applications will go forward to the City Council for their review and most certainly the Councilperson will be very interested in the plan as well.

CHAIRMAN DAVENPORT declared the Public Hearing closed for Items 28 and 29.